

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/750,550		12/28/2000	Dhananjay V. Keskar	PM 0273216 P10417	6959	
27496	7590	02/26/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
PILLSBUI 725 S. FIGI		THROP LLP	PHAN, RAYM	PHAN, RAYMOND NGAN		
SUITE 280		IKEEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
LOS ANGE	LOS ANGELES, CA 90017			2111	,5	
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,				M
Ţ.		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
		09/750,550	KESKAR ET AL.	'
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Raymond Phan	2111	
Pariod 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover she t wit	h the c rrespondence address -	
THE - External after - If the results of the result	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.7 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rependence for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period under the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communica NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status	ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	December 2003 .		
2a) <u></u>		nis action is non-final.		
3)□ Disposit	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			ts is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.			
7)🖂	Claim(s) 34-37 is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) ☐ objected to by th	e Examiner.	
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		sapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	•		
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
_	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document			
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
14)[] A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applic	ation).
) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest			
Attachmen	t(s)			
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/750,550

Art Unit: 2111

Part III DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This action is responsive to the following communications: amendment filed on December 17, 2003.
- 2. This application has been examined. Claims 1-37 are pending.
- 3. The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2111.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 5-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Birkler et al. (US No. 6,466,951) in view of Kraay et al. (US No. 6,202,068).

In regard to claims 1, 16, 23, Birkler et al. disclose a system for allowing items related to an example item to be found, comprising at least one relationship agent contained in a first electronic (i.e. PC) that automatically builds relationship

Art Unit: 2111

information between different items (see col. 3, line 54 through col. 4, line 21); an item relationship database formed by at least one relationship agent, the database including the relationship information between different items (see col. 4, lines 26-45); a synchronizer that transfers the item relationship database or a portion thereof, from the first electronic device to the second electronic device (i.e. PDA); and logic embedded in the second electronic device that uses the relationship information in the item relationship database to find item related to the example item (see col. 4, lines 3-44); user interface on the second electronic device to accept input of the example item (see col. 4, lines 26-44). But Birkler et al. do not specifically disclose at least one relationship agent contained in the electronic device that automatically builds relationship information about data residing in different applications on the electronic device. However Kraay et al. disclose at least one relationship agent contained in the electronic device that automatically builds relationship information about data residing in different applications on the electronic device (see col. 4, line 65 through col. 5, line 66); querying for data related to the example datum (see col. 6, line 58 through col. 7, line 54). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kraay et al. into the teachings of Birkler et al. because it would provide an efficient and expedient search of an existing database in order to reveal underlying patterns and obscure, latent relationships among individual data elements.

In regard to claim 2, Birkler et al. disclose wherein the first electronic device is a PC and the second electronic device is a PDA (see col. 3, lines 2-16).

Art Unit: 2111

In regard to claims 3, 12, 17, 24, Birkler et al. disclose wherein the second electronic device is a system with low processing power and limited storage capacity (see col. 3, lines 9-16).

In regard to claims 4, 18, 25, Birkler et al. disclose a user interface mechanism on the second electronic device that allows users to ask for item related to the example item; and display on the second electronic device that illustrates the items to the example item when the user interface mechanism is invoked (see col. 4, lines 26-44).

In regard to claims 5, 19, 26, Birkler et al. disclose further a supporting database to the at least one relationship agent, which allows at least one relationship agent to make a query into the supporting database for finding the relationship information of the particular item (see col. 3, line 54 through col. 4, line 21).

In regard to claims 6, 13, 27, Birkler et al. disclose wherein the relationship information (i.e. calendar information) is built based on criteria including at least one of temporal relevance, content relevance and people relevance (see col.4, line 56 through col. 5, line 30).

In regard to claims 7, 14, 20, Birkler et al. disclose wherein the item relationship database includes a document table for storing type and location of information of particular item and a document relation table for storing correlation among sets of different items and a description of the type of relationship for each set (see col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 10).

In regard to claim 8, Birkler et al. disclose wherein item related to a set of example item are found (see col. 4, lines 13-21).

Art Unit: 2111

In regard to claims 9, 21, 28, Birkler et al. disclose wherein the synchronizer allows transfer of an item relationship database, or a portion thereof, from the second electronic device to the first device (see col. 4, lines 26-44).

In regard to claims 10, 15, 22, 29, Birkler et al. disclose further a user interface front end to the item relationship database that allows users to modify the relationship information stored in the item relationship database, set their own relationship information, or set the rules for making the relationship information for the at least one relationship agent (see col. 4, line 56 through col. 5, line 10). In regard to claim 11, Birkler et al. disclose a system for allowing items related to an example item to be found, comprising at least one relationship agent contained in a first electronic (i.e. PC) that automatically builds relationship information between different items (see col. 3, line 54 through col. 4, line 21); an item relationship database formed by at least one relationship agent, the database including the relationship information between different items (see col. 4, lines 26-45); a synchronizer that transfers the item relationship database or a portion thereof, from the first electronic device to the second electronic device (i.e. PDA); and logic embedded in the second electronic device that uses the relationship information in the item relationship database to find item related to the example item (see col. 4, lines 3-44). Birkler et al. disclose a user interface mechanism on the second electronic device that allows users to ask for item related to the example item; and display on the second electronic device that illustrates the items to the example item when the user interface mechanism is invoked (see col. 4, lines 26-44). But Birkler et al. do not specifically disclose at least one relationship agent contained in the electronic device that automatically builds relationship information about data residing in different applications on the electronic device.

Art Unit: 2111

However Kraay et al. disclose at least one relationship agent contained in the electronic device that automatically builds relationship information about data residing in different applications on the electronic device (see col. 4, line 65 through col. 5, line 66). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the teachings of Kraay et al. into the teachings of Birkler et al. because it would provide an efficient and expedient search of an existing database in order to reveal underlying patterns and obscure, latent relationships among individual data elements.

In regard to claims 30-33, Birkler et al. disclose wherein different application are one of the calendar application, an email application, a to-do list application, a memo application, a contact application (see col. 1, line64 through col. 2, line 10).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 34-37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. The following is an Examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Claims 34-37 are allowable over the prior art of record because the Examiner found neither prior art cited in its entirety, nor based on the prior art, found any motivation to combine any of the said prior arts which teach wherein the related data are related to the example datum by possessing a same item, the same item being one of the same word contained in the data, same string of words contained in the data, and the same calendar date contained in the data.

Art Unit: 2111

Response to Amendment

9. Applicant's arguments, see pages 12-17, filed December 18, 2003, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-29 under 35USC102(e) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kraay et al.

Conclusion

- 10. Claims 1-33 are rejected. Claims 34-37 are objected.
- 11. The prior arts made of record and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

White et al. (US No. 6,618,732) disclose a database query handler supporting querying of textual annotations of relation between data objects. Kikkers (US No. 6,678,691) discloses a method and apparatus for

generating corporate information.

Frelburger et al. (US No. 6,475,146) disclose method and system for using PDA with diagnostic medical ultrasound systems.

Tognazzimi (US No. 5,790,974) discloses a portable calendar ring device having perceptual agent managing calendar entries.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Raymond Phan, whose telephone number is (703) 306-2756. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30AM- 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Primary, Paul Myers can be reached on (703) 305-9656 or via e-mail addressed to paul.myers@uspto.gov. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7239.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [raymond.phan@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file. PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver

Art Unit: 2111

of the confidentiality requirements of 35 U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

W

Raymond Phan 2/21/04

3900.

Page 8